

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/550,344	09/22/2005	Nicolas Villain	FR 030033	1254	
24737 7590 05/27/2010 PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			CWERN, JONATHAN		
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3737		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/27/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

## BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte NICOLAS VILLAIN, CLAUDE COHEN-BACRIE, JEAN-MICHEL LAGRANGE, CLAIRE LEVRIER, and ROBERT RANDALL ENTREKIN

> Appeal 2010-000514 Application 10/550,344 Technology Center 3700

Before DALE M. SHAW, Division 2 Support Administrator.

## ORDER REMANDING APPEAL TO EXAMINER

This is a Director ordered remand under 37 CFR §41.35(c) for the matter identified below. Accordingly, the application is herewith being remanded to the Examiner.

This application was electronically received at the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences on October 20, 2009. A Docketing Notice was mailed and Appeal No 2010-000514 was assigned on October 26, 2009.

Claims 1-9 of the instant application contain functional language that may be indefinite under 35 U.S.C. § 112, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph. *See Ex Parte* 

Application 10/550,344

Rodriguez, 2009 WL 3756279 (BPAI 2009) and Aristocrat Techs. Austl. Pty. Ltd. v. Int'l. Game Tech., 521 F.3d 1328 (Fed Cir. 2008). On September 2, 2008 the Deputy Commissioner for Patent Examination Policy, John J. Love, issued a memorandum entitled "Rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, when examining means (or step) plus function claim limitations under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph", located at: http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/dapp/opla/preognotice/section\_112\_6 th\_09\_02\_2008.pdf . Thus, there is a question as to whether claims 1-9 and the claims which depend upon these claims, meet the requirements of being definite under 35 U.S.C. § 112, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph.

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the application is remanded to the Examiner to determine if claims 1-9 and the claims which depend upon these claims, meet the requirements of 35 U.S.C. § 112, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph.

If there are any questions pertaining to this Order, please contact the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences at 571-272-9797.

DMS/mls

PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510